arXiv:1208.1139v2 [math.AP] 11 Oct 2012

On the Second Minimax Level for the Scalar Field Equation*

Kanishka Perera†
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Florida Institute of Technology
Melbourne, FL 32901, USA
kperera@fit.edu

Cyril Tintarev
Department of Mathematics
Uppsala University
75 106, Uppsala, Sweden
tintarev@math.uu.se

Abstract

In this paper we study eigenfunctions for the scalar field equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$ at the second minimax level λ_2. Similar to the well-studied case of the ground state, there is a threshold level $\lambda^\#$ such that $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda^\#$, and a critical point at the level λ_2 exists if the inequality is strict. Unlike the case of the ground state, the level λ_2 is not attained in the autonomous problem, and the existence for the nonautonomous problem is shown when the potential near infinity approaches the constant level from below not faster than $e^{-\varepsilon |x|}$. The paper also considers questions about the nodal character of eigenfunctions at the level λ_2 and the symmetry breaking at the first N minimax levels.

---

[*] Research supported by funds from the Swedish Research Council.

MSC2010: Primary 35J61, 35J20, Secondary 47J10

Key Words and Phrases: Scalar field equation, critical points, minimax problems, concentration compactness

[†] This work was done while the first-named author was visiting the Department of Mathematics at the Uppsala University, and he is grateful for the kind hospitality of the department.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the eigenvalue problem for the scalar field equation

$$-\Delta u + V(x)\, u = \lambda\, |u|^{p-2}\, u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where $N \ge 2$, $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} V(x) = V^\infty > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$p \in (2, 2^*)$, and $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ if $N \ge 3$ and $2^* = \infty$ if $N = 2$. Set

$$I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p, \quad J(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)\, u^2, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of (1.1) on

$$\mathcal{M} = \big\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : I(u) = 1 \big\}$$

coincide with the critical values and critical points of $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$, respectively.

Scalar field equations have been studied extensively for more than three decades. Particularly well understood is the case of the ground state. In general, the infimum

$$\lambda_1 := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} J(u) \tag{1.3}$$

is not attained. For the autonomous problem at infinity,

$$-\Delta u + V^\infty\, u = \lambda\, |u|^{p-2}\, u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.4}$$

the corresponding functional

$$J^\infty(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + V^\infty\, u^2, \quad u \in \mathcal{M}$$

attains its infimum

$$\lambda_1^\infty := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} J^\infty(u) > 0 \tag{1.5}$$

at a radial function $w_1^\infty > 0$ (see Berestycki and Lions [4]) and this minimizer is unique (see Kwong [11]). For the nonautonomous problem, we have $\lambda_1 \le$

λ_1^∞ in general, and λ_1 is attained if $\lambda_1 < \lambda_1^\infty$ (see Lions [12]). As far as higher minimax levels are concerned, extensively studied are the radial solutions (see Jones and Küpper [10] and Grillakis [9]). The variational problem restricted to radial functions is compact due to the Strauss compactness result in [16]. In fact, compactness holds also if the symmetry is assumed only with respect to a subgroup (sufficiently robust) of $O(N)$, which was exploited by Bartsch and Wang [2].

As usual, the absence of compactness presents significant technical difficulties and narrows the range of conditions under which solutions may exist. Evasiveness (and, indeed, the non-existence in the autonomous case) of critical points at the second minimax level has brought forth an extensive literature obtaining solutions of scalar field equations on non-trivial unbounded domains, for which we refer the reader to the survey of Cerami [5]. A remarkable pioneering paper [6] of Clapp and Weth proves the existence of multiple solutions (with their number depending on N) to (1.1) under a robust penalty condition similar to (1.11) below. The present paper gives a more detailed study of the critical points at the minimax level λ_2, which corresponds to the level c_1 in [6]. Unlike [6], we verify that λ_2 is attained. To this end, we compare λ_2 with an energy threshold $\lambda^\#$, which is specific for this minimax level. Unlike [6], this paper also addresses the following symmetry breaking question: assuming that the potential is radial, and given that the problem restricted to the radial subspace yields critical points associated with a standard sequence of minimax levels, do those radial critical points remain associated with the corresponding minimaxes on the whole space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$? The answer in the autonomous case, for example, is positive for the ground state and negative for the second minimax level, which, as we assert below, is not attained, and this implies in turn that the second minimiax level is lower than its radial counterpart in this case. This nonexistence result in the autonomous case serves also as a heuristic motivation for the need of a more robust penalty condition such as (1.11) instead of the mere $V < V^\infty$. We also consider a larger class of potentials than in [6], allowing the quadratic form J to have a negative lower bound.

As mentioned above, we focus in this paper on the second minimax levels

$$\lambda_2 := \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_2} \max_{u\in\gamma} J(u), \qquad \lambda_2^\infty := \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_2} \max_{u\in\gamma} J^\infty(u),$$

where Γ_2 is the class of all odd continuous maps γ from $S^1 = \big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| = 1\big\}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. Similar to the classical result of Lions on the ground state, where one always has $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_1^\infty$ and a critical point at the ground level λ_1 exists when the inequality is strict, there is a threshold level $\lambda^\#$ such that $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda^\#$, and we show that a critical point at the level λ_2 exists whenever the inequality is strict. We also show that, unlike the case of the ground state, λ_2 is not attained when the potential is constant. Our main results are as follows.

Our first task is to obtain some bounds for λ_2, which, in particular, determine λ_2^∞.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that* $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *satisfies* (1.2) *and* $p \in (2, 2^*)$.

(*i*) *If* $\lambda_1 > 0$, *then*

$$2^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \left(\lambda_1^{p/(p-2)} + (\lambda_1^\infty)^{p/(p-2)}\right)^{(p-2)/p}.$$

In particular,

$$\lambda_2^\infty = 2^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1^\infty. \tag{1.6}$$

(*ii*) *If* $\lambda_1 \leq 0$, *then*

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1^\infty.$$

Next we prove some nonexistence results, which, in particular, show that λ_2^∞, somewhat unexpectedly, is not a critical level for $J^\infty|_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that* $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *satisfies* (1.2) *and* $p \in (2, 2^*)$.

(*i*) *Equation* (1.1) *has no nodal solution on* $\mathcal{M}$ *for* $\lambda < \lambda_2$.

(*ii*) *Equation* (1.4) *has no solution on* $\mathcal{M}$ *for* $\lambda_1^\infty < \lambda \leq \lambda_2^\infty$.

Then we obtain some existence results for (1.1) when λ_2 is strictly less than the upper bound given by Theorem 1.1,

$$\lambda^\# = \begin{cases} \left(\lambda_1^{p/(p-2)} + (\lambda_1^\infty)^{p/(p-2)}\right)^{(p-2)/p}, & \lambda_1 > 0 \\ \lambda_1^\infty, & \lambda_1 \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We note that

$$\lambda_1^\infty \leq \lambda^\# \leq \lambda_2^\infty. \tag{1.7}$$

Write

$$V(x) = V^\infty - W(x),$$

so that $W(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ by (1.2).

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that* $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *satisfies* (1.2) *and* $p \in (2, 2^*)$. *Then equation* (1.1) *has a solution on* $\mathcal{M}$ *for* $\lambda = \lambda_2$ *in the following cases:*

(*i*) $\lambda_1 > 0$ *and* $\lambda_1^\infty < \lambda_2 < \lambda^\#$,

(*ii*) $\lambda_1 \le 0$ *and* $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1^\infty = \lambda^\#$.

Moreover, the solution is nodal if $\lambda_1 \le 0 < \lambda_2$ *or* $\lambda_1 < 0 \le \lambda_2$.

In fact, we will show that $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at the level λ_2 in those cases by using a profile decomposition for critical sequences.

Note that $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1^\infty$ if $2^{(p-2)/p}\,\lambda_1 > \lambda_1^\infty$ by Theorem 1.1. In our next theorem we give explicit conditions that assure $2^{(p-2)/p}\,\lambda_1 > \lambda_1^\infty$ and $\lambda_2 < \lambda^\#$. Recall that

$$w_1^\infty(x) \sim C_0\, \frac{e^{-\sqrt{V^\infty}\,|x|}}{|x|^{(N-1)/2}} \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty \tag{1.8}$$

for some constant $C_0 > 0$, and there are constants $0 < a_0 \le \sqrt{V^\infty}$ and $C > 0$ such that if λ_1 is attained at $w_1 \ge 0$, then

$$w_1(x) \le C\, e^{-a_0\,|x|} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.9}$$

(see [7] for the case of constant V, the general case follows from an elementary comparison argument). We write $|\cdot|_p$ for the L^p-norms in what follows.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that* $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *satisfies* (1.2) *and* $p \in (2, 2^*)$.

(*i*) *If* $W \in L^{p/(p-2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *with*

$$|W|_{p/(p-2)} < \left(1 - 2^{-(p-2)/p}\right) \lambda_1^\infty, \tag{1.10}$$

then $2^{(p-2)/p}\,\lambda_1 > \lambda_1^\infty$.

(*ii*) *If*

$$W(x) \ge c\, e^{-a\,|x|} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.11}$$

for some constants $0 < a < a_0$ *and* $c > 0$, *then* $\lambda_2 < \lambda^\#$.

The existence of a ground state w_1 was initially proved under the penalty condition $V(x) < V^\infty$ by Lions [12], but it was later relaxed by a term of the order $e^{-a|x|}$ by Bahri and Lions [1]. This can be understood in the sense that the existence of the ground state (in the autonomous case) is somewhat robust. In our case the same order of correction is involved with the reverse sign, namely, while λ_2^∞ is not a critical level for $J^\infty|_{\mathcal{M}}$, it requires an enhanced penalty $V(x) \le V^\infty - c\, e^{-a|x|}$ to assure that λ_2 is critical for $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$. We believe that careful calculations will show that this correction cannot be removed, which in turn suggests that the non-existence of the second eigenfunction in the autonomous case is equally robust as the existence of the first eigenfunction.

Then we consider the higher minimax levels

$$\lambda_m := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_m} \max_{u \in \gamma} J(u), \quad \lambda_m^\infty := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_m} \max_{u \in \gamma} J^\infty(u), \quad m \ge 3,$$

where Γ_m is the class of all odd continuous maps γ from $S^{m-1} = \big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : |x| = 1\big\}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. We have

$$\lambda_m \le \lambda_m^\infty \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.12}$$

by (1.2) and the translation invariance of J^∞. In general, λ_m^∞ may be different from the more standard minimax values

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_m^\infty := \inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}_m} \sup_{u \in A} J^\infty(u), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_m$ is the family of all nonempty closed symmetric subsets $A \subset \mathcal{M}$ with genus

$$i(A) := \inf \big\{n \ge 1 : \exists \text{ an odd continuous map } A \to S^{n-1}\big\} \ge m.$$

If $\gamma \in \Gamma_m$, then $i(\gamma(S^{m-1})) \ge i(S^{m-1}) = m$ and hence $\gamma(S^{m-1}) \in \mathcal{A}_m$, so

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_m^\infty \le \lambda_m^\infty \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.13}$$

in particular, $\widetilde{\lambda}_1^\infty = \lambda_1^\infty$.

Theorem 1.5. *If $p \in (2, 2^*)$, then*

$$\lambda_m^\infty = 2^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1^\infty = \widetilde{\lambda}_m^\infty, \quad m = 2, \dots, N, \tag{1.14}$$

in particular, none of them are critical values of J^∞.

Finally we prove a symmetry breaking result. The subspace $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ consisting of radially symmetric functions is compactly imbedded into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p \in (2, 2^*)$ (see Strauss [16]), and hence the radial minimax levels

$$\lambda_{m,\,r}^\infty := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{m,\,r}} \max_{u \in \gamma} J^\infty(u),$$

where $\Gamma_{m,\,r}$ is the subclass of Γ_m consisting of maps into $\mathcal{M} \cap H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$\lambda_{m,\,r} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{m,\,r}} \max_{u \in \gamma} J(u)$$

when V is radial, are all critical. We have $\lambda_m^\infty \le \lambda_{m,\,r}^\infty$ in general, and since (1.4) has a radial solution on $\mathcal{M}$ for $\lambda = \lambda_{m,\,r}^\infty$, $\lambda_m^\infty < \lambda_{m,\,r}^\infty$ for $m = 2, \dots, N$ by Theorems 1.5 and 1.2, in particular, $\lambda_{2,\,r}^\infty > \lambda_2^\infty$.

Theorem 1.6. *If* $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *is radial and satisfies* (1.2), $p \in (2, 2^*)$, *and* $W \in L^{p/(p-2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *with*

$$|W|_{p/(p-2)} < \lambda_{2,\,r}^\infty - \lambda_2^\infty, \tag{1.15}$$

then $\lambda_m < \lambda_{m,\,r}$ *for* $m = 2, \dots, N$.

2 Preliminaries on paths and proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

We will use the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ induced by the inner product

$$(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + V^\infty\, u\, v,$$

which is equivalent to the standard norm.

Lemma 2.1. *Every path* $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$ *contains a point* u_0 *such that* $I(u_0^+) = I(u_0^-)$.

Proof. The function

$$f(\theta) = I(\gamma(e^{i\theta})^+) - I(\gamma(e^{i\theta})^-), \quad \theta \in [0, \pi]$$

is continuous since the mappings $u \mapsto u^\pm$ on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the imbedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are continuous, $f(\pi) = -f(0)$ since γ is odd and $(-u)^\pm = u^\mp$, so $f(\theta) = 0$ for some $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ by the intermediate value theorem. $\square$

For $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ with $u_2 \neq \pm u_1$, consider the path in Γ_2 given by

$$\gamma_{u_1 u_2}(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{u_1 \cos\theta + u_2 \sin\theta}{|u_1 \cos\theta + u_2 \sin\theta|_p}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi],$$

which passes through u_1 and u_2.

Lemma 2.2. *If $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ have disjoint supports, then*

$$\max_{u \in \gamma_{u_1 u_2}} J(u) = \begin{cases} \left(J(u_1)^{p/(p-2)} + J(u_2)^{p/(p-2)}\right)^{(p-2)/p}, & J(u_1), J(u_2) > 0 \\ J(u_2), & J(u_1) \leq 0 < J(u_2) \\ -\left(|J(u_1)|^{p/(p-2)} + |J(u_2)|^{p/(p-2)}\right)^{(p-2)/p}, & J(u_1), J(u_2) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have

$$J(\gamma_{u_1 u_2}(e^{i\theta})) = \frac{J(u_1) \cos^2\theta + J(u_2) \sin^2\theta}{\left(|\cos\theta|^p + |\sin\theta|^p\right)^{2/p}},$$

and a straightforward calculation yields the conclusion. □

For each $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the translation $u \mapsto u(\cdot - y)$ is a unitary operator on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and an isometry of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, in particular, it preserves $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Given $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a path $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$ such that*

$$\max_{u \in \gamma} J(u) < \begin{cases} \left(J(u_1)^{p/(p-2)} + J^\infty(u_2)^{p/(p-2)}\right)^{(p-2)/p} + \varepsilon, & J(u_1) > 0 \\ J^\infty(u_2) + \varepsilon, & J(u_1) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By density, u_1 and u_2 can be approximated by functions $\widetilde{u}_1, \widetilde{u}_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{M}$, respectively. For all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|y|$ sufficiently large, $\widetilde{u}_1$ and $\widetilde{u}_2(\cdot - y)$ have disjoint supports, and, by (1.2) and the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{|y| \to \infty} J(\widetilde{u}_2(\cdot - y)) = J^\infty(\widetilde{u}_2) > 0,$$

so the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.2 and the continuity of J and J^∞. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Every path $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$ contains a point u_0 with $\left|u_0^\pm\right|_p = 1/2^{1/p}$ by Lemma 2.1, and hence

$$\max_{u \in \gamma} J(u) \ge J(u_0) = J(u_0^+) + J(u_0^-) \ge \lambda_1 \left|u_0^+\right|_p^2 + \lambda_1 \left|u_0^-\right|_p^2 = 2^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1,$$

so $\lambda_2 \ge 2^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1$. This proves the lower bounds for λ_2 since $\lambda_2 \ge \lambda_1$ in general. The upper bounds follow by applying Lemma 2.3 to minimizing sequences for λ_1 and λ_1^∞. ☐

For $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, denote by $\widehat{u} = u/\left|u\right|_p$ the radial projection of u on $\mathcal{M}$. Recall that u is called nodal if both u^+ and u^- are nonzero.

Lemma 2.4. *If $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ is a nodal critical point of J, then*

$$\max_{u \in \gamma_{\widehat{u_0^+}\,\widehat{u_0^-}}} J(u) = J(u_0),$$

and hence $\lambda_2 \le J(u_0)$.

Proof. Testing the equation (1.1) for $u = u_0$ with $u_0, u_0^\pm$ gives

$$J(u_0) = \lambda, \qquad J(u_0^\pm) = \lambda \left|u_0^\pm\right|_p^p,$$

respectively, so

$$J(\widehat{u_0^\pm}) = \frac{J(u_0^\pm)}{\left|u_0^\pm\right|_p^2} = J(u_0) \left|u_0^\pm\right|_p^{p-2},$$

in particular, $J(\widehat{u_0^\pm})$ have the same sign as $J(u_0)$. Since $\widehat{u_0^\pm}$ have disjoint supports, then

$$\max_{u \in \gamma_{\widehat{u_0^+}\,\widehat{u_0^-}}} J(u) = \operatorname{sign}(J(u_0)) \left(|J(\widehat{u_0^+})|^{p/(p-2)} + |J(\widehat{u_0^-})|^{p/(p-2)}\right)^{(p-2)/p}$$

by Lemma 2.2, and the conclusion follows since $\left|u_0^+\right|_p^p + \left|u_0^-\right|_p^p = 1$. ☐

We can now prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Part (i) is immediate from Lemma 2.4. As for part (ii), there is no solution for $\lambda_1^\infty < \lambda < \lambda_2^\infty$ by part (i) since $\pm w_1^\infty$ are the only sign definite solutions (see Kwong [11]). If $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ is a solution of (1.4) with $\lambda = \lambda_2^\infty$, then u_0 is nodal, so as in the proof of Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_2^\infty = J^\infty(u_0) &= \Big(J^\infty(\widehat{u_0^+})^{p/(p-2)} + J^\infty(\widehat{u_0^-})^{p/(p-2)}\Big)^{(p-2)/p}\\ &\ge \big((\lambda_1^\infty)^{p/(p-2)} + (\lambda_1^\infty)^{p/(p-2)}\big)^{(p-2)/p} = 2^{(p-2)/p}\,\lambda_1^\infty.\end{aligned}$$

By (1.6), equality holds throughout and $\widehat{u_0^\pm}$ are minimizers for λ_1^∞. Since any nonnodal solution is sign definite by the strong maximum principle, then both u_0^+ and u_0^- are positive everywhere, a contradiction. ☐

3 Palais-Smale condition, nodality, and proof of Theorem 1.3

Recall that $u_k \in \mathcal{M}$ is a critical sequence for $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$J'(u_k) - \mu_k\, I'(u_k) \to 0, \qquad J(u_k) \to c \tag{3.1}$$

for some sequence $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $(J'(u_k), u_k) = 2\, J(u_k)$ and $(I'(u_k), u_k) = p\, I(u_k) = p$, then $\mu_k \to (2/p)\, c$.

Lemma 3.1. *Any sublevel set of* $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ *is bounded and* $\inf J > -\infty$. *In particular, any critical sequence* u_k *for* $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ *is bounded and* $\lambda_1 > -\infty$.

Proof. Let $u_k \in \mathcal{M}$ be any sequence such that $J(u_k) \le \alpha < \infty$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(|\nabla u_k|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\, V_\infty\, u_k^2\Big) \le \alpha + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(W - \frac{1}{2}\, V_\infty\Big)^+ u_k^2.$$

Note that the set $D = \operatorname{supp}(W - 1/2\, V_\infty)^+$ has finite measure and $W \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so the second term on the right hand side, by the Hölder inequality on D, is bounded by $C\, |u_k|_p^2$ and hence bounded. Finally, it remains to note that J is a sum of $\|u\|^2$ and a weakly continuous functional, and thus it is weakly lower semicontinuous. Since its sublevel sets are bounded, it is necessarily bounded from below. ☐

In our analysis of critical sequences we will make use of a profile decomposition obtained by Lions in [13]. We state here a version based on the paper of Solimini [15], which is a particular case of Corollary 3.3 in Tintarev and Fieseler [17]. Its application to critical sequences of semilinear elliptic problems is due to Benci and Cerami [3].

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $u_k \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *be a bounded sequence, and assume that there is a constant* $\delta > 0$ *such that if* $u_k(\cdot + y_k) \rightharpoonup w \neq 0$ *on a renumbered subsequence for some* $y_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$ *with* $|y_k| \to \infty$*, then* $\|w\| \geq \delta$*. Then there are* $m \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $w^{(n)} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$*,* $y_k^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^N$*,* $y_k^{(1)} = 0$ *with* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $n \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$*,* $w^{(n)} \neq 0$ *for* $n \geq 2$*, such that, on a renumbered subsequence,*

$$u_k(\cdot + y_k^{(n)}) \rightharpoonup w^{(n)}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\left|y_k^{(n)} - y_k^{(l)}\right| \to \infty \textit{ for } n \neq l, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\|w^{(n)}\right\|^2 \leq \liminf \|u_k\|^2, \tag{3.4}$$

$$u_k - \sum_{n=1}^{m} w^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)}) \to 0 \textit{ in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \forall p \in (2, 2^*). \tag{3.5}$$

Equation (3.1) implies

$$-\Delta u_k + V(x)\, u_k = c_k\, |u_k|^{p-2}\, u_k + \mathrm{o}(1), \tag{3.6}$$

where $c_k = (p/2)\, \mu_k \to c$. So if $u_k(\cdot + y_k) \rightharpoonup w$ on a renumbered subsequence for some $y_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|y_k| \to \infty$, then w solves (1.4) with $\lambda = c$ by (1.2), in particular, $\|w\|^2 = c\, |w|_p^p$. If $w \neq 0$, it follows that $c > 0$ and $\|w\| \geq \big((\lambda_1^\infty)^p / c\big)^{1/2\,(p-1)}$ since $\|w\|^2 / |w|_p^2 \geq \lambda_1^\infty$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let* $u_k \in \mathcal{M}$ *be a critical sequence for* $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ *at the level* $c \in \mathbb{R}$*. Then it admits a renumbered subsequence that satisfies the conclusions* *of Proposition 3.2 for some* $m \in \mathbb{N}$*, and, in addition,*

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta w^{(1)} + V(x)\, w^{(1)} &= c\, |w^{(1)}|^{p-2}\, w^{(1)}, \\ -\Delta w^{(n)} + V^{\infty}\, w^{(n)} &= c\, |w^{(n)}|^{p-2}\, w^{(n)}, \quad n = 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$J(w^{(1)}) = c\, I(w^{(1)}), \qquad J^{\infty}(w^{(n)}) = c\, I(w^{(n)}), \quad n = 2, \dots, m, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} I(w^{(n)}) = 1, \qquad J(w^{(1)}) + \sum_{n=2}^{m} J^{\infty}(w^{(n)}) = c, \tag{3.9}$$

$$u_k - \sum_{n=1}^{m} w^{(n)}(\cdot - y_k^{(n)}) \to 0 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. The proof is based on standard arguments and we only sketch it. Equations in (3.7) follow from (3.6), (3.2), and (1.2), and (3.8) is immediate from (3.7). First equation in (3.9) is a particular case of Lemma 3.4 in Tintarev and Fieseler [17], and the second follows from (3.8) and the first. Relation (3.10) follows from (3.5), (3.6), and the continuity of the Sobolev imbedding. $\square$

Now let u_k be the renumbered subsequence of a critical sequence for $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ at the level c given by Proposition 3.3, and set $t_n = I(w^{(n)})$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} t_n = 1 \tag{3.11}$$

by (3.9), so each $t_n \in [0, 1]$, and $t_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq 2$. Since $J(w^{(1)}) \geq \lambda_1\, t_1^{2/p}$ and $J^{\infty}(w^{(n)}) \geq \lambda_1^{\infty}\, t_n^{2/p}$, (3.8) gives

$$t_1 = 0 \text{ or } c\, t_1^{(p-2)/p} \geq \lambda_1, \qquad c\, t_n^{(p-2)/p} \geq \lambda_1^{\infty}, \quad n = 2, \dots, m. \tag{3.12}$$

It follows from (3.11) and (3.12) that if $m \geq 2$, then

$$c \geq \begin{cases} \big(\lambda_1^{p/(p-2)} + (m-1)\, (\lambda_1^{\infty})^{p/(p-2)}\big)^{(p-2)/p}, & t_1 \neq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_1 > 0 \\ (m-1)^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1^{\infty}, & t_1 = 0 \text{ or } \lambda_1 \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

Lemma 3.4. *u_k converges in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in the following cases:*

(*i*) $\lambda_1 > 0$ *and* $\lambda_1^\infty < c < \lambda^\#$,

(*ii*) $\lambda_1 \le 0$ *and* $c < \lambda_1^\infty = \lambda^\#$.

Proof. If $m = 1$, then $u_k \to w^{(1)}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by (3.10), so suppose $m \ge 2$. Then

$$(m-1)^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1^\infty \le c < \lambda^\# \le \lambda_2^\infty = 2^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1^\infty$$

by (3.13), (1.7), and (1.6), so $m = 2$ and $c \ge \lambda_1^\infty$, which eliminates case (*ii*). As for case (*i*), if $t_1 \ne 0$, then $c \ge \lambda^\#$ by (3.13), so $t_1 = 0$. Then $t_2 = 1$ by (3.11), so $w^{(2)}$ is a solution of (1.4) on $\mathcal{M}$ with $\lambda = c$ by (3.7), which contradicts Theorem 1.2 since $\lambda_1^\infty < c < \lambda^\# \le \lambda_2^\infty$. ☐

Lemma 3.5. *If $\lambda_1 \le 0 < \lambda$ or $\lambda_1 < 0 \le \lambda$, then every solution u of* (1.1) *on $\mathcal{M}$ is nodal.*

Proof. Since $\lambda_1 \le 0 < \lambda_1^\infty$, (1.1) has a solution $w_1 > 0$ on $\mathcal{M}$ for $\lambda = \lambda_1$ (see Lions [12]). Then

$$\lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_1^{p-1}\, u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla w_1 \cdot \nabla u + V(x)\, w_1\, u = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2}\, u\, w_1. \quad (3.14)$$

If u is nonnodal, then it is sign definite by the strong maximum principle, so (3.14) implies that λ_1 and λ have the same sign. ☐

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at the level λ_2 by Lemma 3.4, it is a critical level by a standard argument. The last statement follows from Lemma 3.5. ☐

4 Proofs of Theorems 1.4–1.6 and symmetry breaking

Under assumption (1.11),

$$\lambda_1 \le J(w_1^\infty) \le J^\infty(w_1^\infty) - c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-a\,|x|}\, w_1^\infty(x)^2\, dx < \lambda_1^\infty,$$

so λ_1 is attained at some function $w_1 \geq 0$ (see Lions [12]). Our idea of the proof for part *(ii)* of Theorem 1.4 is to show, analogously, that if $|y|$ is sufficiently large, then

$$\lambda_2 \leq \max_{u \in \gamma_{w_1 w_1^\infty(\cdot - y)}} J(u) < \lambda^\#.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let a_0 be as in* (1.8)*. Then, as $|y| \to \infty$,*

$$(i) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_1(x)^{p-1}\, w_1^\infty(x-y)\, dx = O(e^{-a_0\,|y|}),$$

$$(ii) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_1(x)\, w_1^\infty(x-y)^{p-1}\, dx = O(e^{-a_0\,|y|}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}(iii) \quad & J(w_1\, \cos\theta + w_1^\infty(\cdot - y)\, \sin\theta)\\ & = \lambda_1\, \cos^2\theta + \left(\lambda_1^\infty - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x)\, w_1^\infty(x-y)^2\, dx\right) \sin^2\theta + O(e^{-a_0\,|y|}),\end{aligned}$$

$$(iv) \quad |w_1\, \cos\theta + w_1^\infty(\cdot - y)\, \sin\theta|_p^2 \geq \big(|\cos\theta|^p + |\sin\theta|^p\big)^{2/p} + O(e^{-a_0\,|y|}).$$

Proof. *(i)* By (1.8), $w_1^\infty(x) \leq \widetilde{C}\, e^{-a_0\,|x|}$ for some $\widetilde{C} > 0$, which together with (1.9) shows that the integral on the left is bounded by a constant multiple of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-a_0\,[(p-1)\,|x| + |x-y|]} \leq e^{-a_0\,|y|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-a_0\,(p-2)\,|x|}$$

by the triangle inequality.

(ii) Same as part *(i)* after the change of variable $x \mapsto x + y$.

(iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} & J(w_1\, \cos\theta + w_1^\infty(\cdot - y)\, \sin\theta)\\ = \; & J(w_1)\, \cos^2\theta + \left(J^\infty(w_1^\infty(\cdot - y)) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x)\, w_1^\infty(x-y)^2\, dx\right) \sin^2\theta\\ & + \sin 2\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\nabla w_1(x) \cdot \nabla w_1^\infty(x-y) + V(x)\, w_1(x)\, w_1^\infty(x-y)\big)\, dx\\ = \; & \lambda_1\, \cos^2\theta + \left(\lambda_1^\infty - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x)\, w_1^\infty(x-y)^2\, dx\right) \sin^2\theta\\ & + \lambda_1\, \sin 2\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_1(x)^{p-1}\, w_1^\infty(x-y)\, dx \end{aligned}$$

since w_1 solves (1.1) with $\lambda = \lambda_1$, and the last term is of the order $\mathrm{O}(e^{-a_0\,|y|})$ by part (i).

(iv) Using the elementary inequality

$$|a+b|^p \geq |a|^p + |b|^p - p\,|a|^{p-1}\,|b| - p\,|a|\,|b|^{p-1} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |w_1\,\cos\theta + w_1^\infty(\cdot - y)\,\sin\theta|_p^2 \\ \geq\ & \bigg(|w_1|_p^p\,|\cos\theta|^p + |w_1^\infty(\cdot - y)|_p^p\,|\sin\theta|^p \\ & - p\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_1(x)^{p-1}\,w_1^\infty(x-y)\,dx - p\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_1(x)\,w_1^\infty(x-y)^{p-1}\,dx\bigg)^{2/p} \\ =\ & \big(|\cos\theta|^p + |\sin\theta|^p + \mathrm{O}(e^{-a_0\,|y|})\big)^{2/p} \end{aligned}$$

by parts (i) and (ii), and the conclusion follows. □

Lemma 4.2. *If* $W \in L^{p/(p-2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, *then*

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{M}}\,|J(u) - J^\infty(u)| \leq |W|_{p/(p-2)}\,.$$

Proof. For $u \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$|J(u) - J^\infty(u)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |W(x)|\,u^2 \leq |W|_{p/(p-2)}\,|u|_p^2 = |W|_{p/(p-2)}$$

by the Hölder inequality. □

We are now ready to prove Theorems 1.4–1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. (i) By Lemma 4.2 and (1.10),

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_1^\infty - |W|_{p/(p-2)} > 2^{-(p-2)/p}\,\lambda_1^\infty.$$

(ii) By (1.8) and (1.11),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x)\,w_1^\infty(x-y)^2\,dx \geq \widetilde{c}\,e^{-a\,|y|} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

for some $\widetilde{c} > 0$, which together with Lemma 4.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& J(\gamma_{w_1 w_1^\infty(\cdot - y)}(e^{i\theta})) \\
= \; & \frac{J(w_1 \, \cos\theta + w_1^\infty(\cdot - y) \, \sin\theta)}{\left|w_1 \, \cos\theta + w_1^\infty(\cdot - y) \, \sin\theta\right|_p^2} \\
\leq \; & \frac{\lambda_1 \, \cos^2\theta + \left(\lambda_1^\infty - \widetilde{c}\, e^{-a\,|y|}\right) \sin^2\theta}{\left(|\cos\theta|^p + |\sin\theta|^p\right)^{2/p}} + \mathrm{O}(e^{-a_0\,|y|}) \\
\leq \; & \begin{cases} \left[\lambda_1^{p/(p-2)} + \left(\lambda_1^\infty - \widetilde{c}\, e^{-a\,|y|}\right)^{p/(p-2)}\right]^{(p-2)/p} + \mathrm{O}(e^{-a_0\,|y|}), & \lambda_1 > 0 \\ \lambda_1^\infty - \widetilde{c}\, e^{-a\,|y|} + \mathrm{O}(e^{-a_0\,|y|}), & \lambda_1 \leq 0 \end{cases} \\
< \; & \lambda^\#
\end{aligned}$$

if $|y|$ is sufficiently large since $a < a_0$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. An approximation argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 shows that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $R > 0$ such that, for $\gamma_R \in \Gamma_N$ given by

$$\gamma_R(y) = \frac{w_1^\infty(\cdot + Ry) - w_1^\infty(\cdot - Ry)}{\left|w_1^\infty(\cdot + Ry) - w_1^\infty(\cdot - Ry)\right|_p}, \quad y \in S^{N-1},$$

we have

$$J^\infty(\gamma_R(y)) < 2^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1^\infty + \varepsilon \quad \forall y \in S^{N-1}.$$

So $\lambda_N^\infty \leq 2^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1^\infty$, and the first equality in (1.14) then follows since, by Theorem 1.1, $2^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1^\infty = \lambda_2^\infty \leq \lambda_N^\infty$.

If $A \in \mathcal{A}_2$, then A contains a point u_0 with $\left|u_0^\pm\right|_p = 1/2^{1/p}$ since otherwise

$$A \to S^0, \quad u \mapsto \frac{\left|u^+\right|_p - \left|u^-\right|_p}{\left|\left|u^+\right|_p - \left|u^-\right|_p\right|}$$

is an odd continuous map and hence $i(A) = 1$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{u \in A} J^\infty(u) \geq J^\infty(u_0) &= J^\infty(u_0^+) + J^\infty(u_0^-) \\
&\geq \lambda_1^\infty \left|u_0^+\right|_p^2 + \lambda_1^\infty \left|u_0^-\right|_p^2 = 2^{(p-2)/p}\, \lambda_1^\infty = \lambda_2^\infty
\end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 1.1. So $\widetilde{\lambda}_2^\infty \geq \lambda_2^\infty$, and the second equality in (1.14) then follows from the first since $\widetilde{\lambda}_2^\infty \leq \widetilde{\lambda}_N^\infty \leq \lambda_N^\infty$ by (1.13). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. By Lemma 4.2, (1.15), Theorem 1.5, and (1.12),

$$\lambda_{m,r} \geq \lambda_{m,r}^\infty - |W|_{p/(p-2)} \geq \lambda_{2,r}^\infty - |W|_{p/(p-2)} > \lambda_2^\infty = \lambda_m^\infty \geq \lambda_m. \quad \square$$

Finally, we list below some questions related to the present paper that remain open.

1. When is every solution of (1.1) at the level λ_2 nodal? Theorem 1.3 gives only a partial answer.
2. What is the geometry of the nodal domains for solutions at the level λ_2?
3. Assuming that V is radial and taking into account the symmetry analysis of Pacella and Weth in [14] and (with Gladiali) [8], is every solution at the level λ_2 a foliated Schwarz symmetric function?
4. Can the “minimal penalty” condition (1.11) be relaxed?
5. Is there an analog of Theorem 1.3 for higher minimax levels?

References

[1] A. Bahri and P. -L. Lions. On the existence of a positive solution of semilinear elliptic equations in unbounded domains. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 14(3):365–413, 1997.

[2] T. Bartsch and Z. -Q. Wang. Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on $\mathbf{R}^N$. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 20(9-10):1725–1741, 1995.

[3] V. Benci and G. Cerami. Positive solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems in exterior domains. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 99(4):283–300, 1987.

[4] H. Berestycki and P. -L. Lions. Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 82(4):313–345, 1983.

[5] G. Cerami. Some nonlinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains. *Milan J. Math.*, 74:47–77, 2006.

[6] M. Clapp and T. Weth. Multiple solutions of nonlinear scalar field equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 29(9-10):1533–1554, 2004.

[7] B. Gidas, W. -M. Ni, and L. Nirenberg. Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 68(3):209–243, 1979.

[8] F. Gladiali, F. Pacella, and T. Weth. Symmetry and nonexistence of low Morse index solutions in unbounded domains. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 93(5):536–558, 2010.

[9] M. Grillakis. Existence of nodal solutions of semilinear equations in $\mathbf{R}^N$. *J. Differential Equations*, 85(2):367–400, 1990.

[10] C. Jones and T. Küpper. On the infinitely many solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 17(4):803–835, 1986.

[11] M. -K. Kwong. Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 105(3):243–266, 1989.

[12] P. -L. Lions. The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. I. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 1(2):109–145, 1984.

[13] P. -L. Lions. Solutions of Hartree-Fock equations for Coulomb systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 109(1):33–97, 1987.

[14] F. Pacella and T. Weth. Symmetry of solutions to semilinear elliptic equations via Morse index. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(6):1753–1762 (electronic), 2007.

[15] S. Solimini. A note on compactness-type properties with respect to Lorentz norms of bounded subsets of a Sobolev space. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 12(3):319–337, 1995.

[16] W. A. Strauss. Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 55(2):149–162, 1977.

[17] K. Tintarev and K. -H. Fieseler. *Concentration compactness: functional-analytic grounds and applications.* Imperial College Press, London, 2007.